

UGG Calculation Proposal FY16

UGG Calculations

Current UGG

- % of students who benchmark on EOY DIBELS
- UGG Target raised each year
- Held accountable for new group of students each year based on a different cohort of students performance in previous year(s)
- Composite score



- % of students who made typical, above typical, and well-above typical growth
- 47.83% of students required to show typical or better progress from BOY to EOY
- Goal does not increase each year based on performance of previous cohort of students
- Composite score*

3rd Grade Pathways

	• • • •	
Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor	Minimum Percent of Students Making Typical Progress or Better ^a	Classroom Reading Progress Descriptor (Long Form)
Well Above Average	90.00%	Well Above Average Classroom Reading Progress
Above Average	75.00%	Above Average Classroom Reading Progress
Average	47.83%	Average Classroom Reading Progress
Below Average	16.86%	Below Average Classroom Reading Progress
Well Below Average	0%	Well Below Average Classroom Reading Progress

Cohort to Cohort

Current UGG

- Based on baseline year's performance
- UGG gradually increases each year no matter entry point of 3rd grade cohort



- Based on national data
- Based on growth between BOY and EOY of 3rd grade cohort



Well-Below Benchmark Students

Current UGG

- Jeff at BOY reads 12 wcpm
- Jeff at EOY reads 87 wcpm
- Does not benchmark
- Counts against your % of students at benchmark

- Jeff at BOY reads 12 wcpm
- Jeff at EOY reads 87 wcpm
- Does not benchmark
- Makes well-above typical growth
- Counts positively towards % of students who made typical of better progress

Progress Monitoring DORF/Level 3 Progress Monitoring Scoring Booklet

DORF LEVEL

Ę



Below Benchmark Students

Current UGG

- Savannah at BOY reads 67 wcpm
- Savannah at EOY reads 98 wcpm
- Does not benchmark
- Counts against your students at benchmark

- Savannah at BOY reads 67 wcpm
- Savannah at EOY reads 98 wcpm
- Does not benchmark
- Makes typical growth
- Counts positively towards % of students who made typical progress of better

Proaress Monitorina

Ę





Benchmark Students – Case 1

Current UGG

- Paul at BOY reads 110 wcpm
- Paul at EOY reads 120 wcpm
- Benchmarks
- Counts positively towards % of students at benchmark
- Any student who reads 100 wcpm benchmarks and counts positively

- Paul at BOY reads 110 wcpm
- Paul at EOY reads 120 wcpm
- Benchmarks
- Below typical progress
- Counts negatively towards % of students who made typical progress or better

Benchmark Students -- Case 2

Current UGG

- Carlos at BOY reads 140 wcpm
- Carlos at EOY reads 150 wcpm
- Benchmarks
- Counts positively towards % of students at benchmark
- Any student who reads 100 wcpm benchmarks and counts positively

- Carlos at BOY reads 140 wcpm
- Carlos at EOY reads 150 wcpm
- Benchmarks
- 85th percentile or higher automatically count as typical or higher growth as goal is not to create auctioneers
- Counts positively towards % of students who made typical progress or better



Ę

Target

Current UGG

- 90% target or halfway to 100%
- Target uniformly grows each year based on year one's data
- No safe-harbor clause

Proposed UGG

• Target is around 50%



- Target will fluctuate a bit each year, based on national data
- Safe-harbor clause—if within x% of target goal will be considered met



Overall

Current UGG



Proposed UGG



It's about GROWTH



So what is Pathways to Progress?

Pathways to Progress

- Research-based tool for:
 - 1) Establishing individual student progress monitoring goals
 - 2) Evaluating individual student progress and growth over time
 - 3) Reflecting on the effectiveness of support at the classroom, school, or district level

Pathways of Progress

• An evaluation of growth over time, compared to other students with the same level of initial skills.







Pathways of Progress for EOY Outcomes

DIBELS Next Composite	Well Above	Above Typical	Typical	Below Typical	Well Below	
and Components Typical Progress		Progress	Progress	Progress	Typical Progress	
DIBELS Composite Score	382 and above	381 to 347	346 to 317	316 to 285	284 and below	
19		Pathways of Progres	sTM	Γ	©2014, Dynamic leasurement Group	

DIBELSnet[®] Pathways of ProgressTM Goal Setting Utility

	Beg of Year Score		Pathways of Progress	End of Year Student Goal	
DORF Words Correct	65 🗖		****	106	
		WELL ABOVE TYPICAL	****	109	109+
		ABOVE TYPICAL	****	103	98 - 108
		TYPICAL	*****	93	88 - 97
		BELOW TYPICAL	****	83	79 - 87
		WELL BELOW TYPICAL	****	78	0 - 78
DORF Accuracy	96% 🔳		****	98	
Retell	14 🗖		****	45	
Daze	6 🗖		****	20	
DCS	205 🖬		****	380 🔳	

Pathways of Progress[™] Based on DIBELS Next[®] Composite Score



3 students with the same DIBELS ORF at BOY have very different levels of overall reading proficiency at BOY as indicated by the DIBELS Next Composite score.

Pathways of Progress facilitates different end of year goals for DORF Words Correct, DORF Accuracy, DORF Retell, and Daze for Tabitha, Jaclyn, and Rene'.



Sample Pathways Graph in DIBELSnet





Pathways to Progress: Pathway lines for PM graphs and Rates of Progress for Individual DIBELS Measures (Planned Release: BOY 2015)





Sample EOY Pathways Report

School:	Sunnyville Elementary School
Grade:	First Grade, End of Year
Year:	2014-2015
Class:	Edwards Grade1
Class:	Edwards Grade1

Ē

Pathways of Progress[™] Report



BEGINNING OF YEAR	BEGINNING OF YEAR							END OF YEAR OVERALL PATHWAY				
All pathways are based on the			1005 0				DODE.					
beginning-of-year composite score.	Name	DIBELS Composite Score	Letter Sounds Score	Pathway	NWF Whole Words Read Score	Pathway	Words Correct Score	Pathway	DORF Accuracy Score	Pathway	DIBELS Composite Score	Pathway
	Bowman, Chad	110 🖬	45 🗆	2	14 🔳	0	47 🔳	3	92% 🔳	8	156 🔳	***
END OF YEAR	Carpenter, Martha	111 🖬	45 🖬	0	11 🖬	0	51 🔳	8	94% 🔳	3	160 🔳	***
COMPONENT SCORE PATHWAYS	Duncan, Steve	127	70 🔳	3	24 🔳	3	37 🖬	0	93% 🔳	3	172 🔳	**
	Foster, Allen	107 🖬	65 🔳	3	14 🔳	0	60 🔳	4	98% 🔳	6	187 🔳	****
Component score pathways are	Hampton, Omar	71 🗆	65 🔳	4	23 🔳	6	111 🔳	6	99% 🔳	6	262 🔳	*****
compared to other students with the	Howard, Dianne	139 🔳	89 🔳	3	31 🔳	3	53 🔳	2	93% 🔳	0	202	***
same beginning-of-year composite	Mcguire, Bonnie	125	65 🔳	3	18 🔳	0	63 🔳	3	100% 🔳	6	204 🔳	***
score.	Ortiz, Stuart	40 🗆	48 🖬	4	9 🖬	4	6 🖬	0	46% 🖬	0	24 🖬	**
	Owen, Laurie	173	89 🔳	0	27 🔳	0	71 🔳	2	96% 🔳	0	218 🔳	**
To support overall reading proficiency,	Patterson, Cody	92 🗆	57 🖬	3	20 🔳	3	22 🗆	2	81% 🗆	0	113 🖬	**
more growth is needed in a student's	Peters, Mark	70 🖬	50 🖬	3	14 🔳	3	20 🖬	2	80% 🖬	3	93 🖬	***
areas of relative weakness.	Reid, Lloyd	169 🔳	80 🔳	0	27 🔳	0	70 🔳	2	99% 🔳	3	229 🔳	**
	Richards, Patrick	163	97 🔳	3	34 🔳	8	83 🔳	3	99% 🔳	3	256 🔳	***
	Sharp, Karl	173	136 🔳	4	43 🔳	0	99 🔳	0	99% 🔳	0	290 🔳	****
END OF YEAR	Stokes, Guillermo	185	38 🗖	0	11 🖬	0	50 🔳	0	96% 🔳	0	165 🔳	*
OVERALL PATHWAY	Taylor, Joy	111 🖬	87 🔳	4	29 🔳	4	65 🔳	4	97% 🔳	4	222 🔳	****
	Weber, Matt	106 🖬	143 🔳	6	<u>50</u>	6	78 🔳	6	100% 🔳	6	283 🔳	*****
A student's overall pathway is based												
on the student's end-of-year composite												
score compared to other students with												
the same beginning-of-year composite												
score.												

Final Thoughts & Questions



Contact Information

- Jennifer Throndsen
- Jennifer.Throndsen@schools.Utah.gov
- 801-538-7893